

# Weekly Close Time for Salmon Fishing

Report on Investigation under Section 14 of the Salmon and Freshwater Fisheries (Protection) (Scotland) Act, 1951

Presented pursuant to Act 14 and 15 Geo. 6, c. 26 section 14



EDINBURGH
HER MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE
1959

NINEPENCE NET

ed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Di-

# Investigation on Weekly Close Time

#### Introduction

- I. The Salmon and Freshwater Fisheries (Protection) (Scotland) Act 1951, which altered the weekly dose time for salmon fishing, provided in section 4 that the Secretary of State should investigate the operation of the weekly dose time not later than 1954 and not earlier than 1954. In 1958 the Secretary of State decided that the investigation should be carried out by officials of the Scottish Home Departmen—the Fisheries Secretary, the Director of Fisheries Research, and the Inspector of Salmon Fisheries. This Report sets out the results of the investigation.
- 2. The Act requires that the investigation shall be carried out in consultation with such associations and persons as the Secretary of State thinks if the bodies consulted were the Association of Scottish District Salmon Fishery Boards for Scottish Salmon Artip Repetation and the Salmon Netl Fishing Association of Scotland. A press notice was issued to draw attention to the investigation and a number of representations and memoranda were received. Oral evidence was also given by the Inspector and Consultant in Scotland of the Fishmongers' Commany.
  - The provisions to be investigated are contained in section 13 of the Act which reads as follows:
    - "13. (1) No persons shall fish for or take salmon during Sunday.
      - (2) No persons shall fish for or take salmon (except during Saturday or Monday by rod and line) during the weekly close time.
      - (3) The weekly close time shall extend from the hour of twelve noon on Saturday to the hour of six on the following Monday morning.
      - (4) If any person contravenes this section he shall be guilty of an offence against this Act."
- With this section must be read the penalties which it attracts, as prescribed by section 18.

## History of Close Time Provisions

FISHING BY ROD AND LINE

4. Section 7 of the Salmon Fisheries (Scotland) Act 1862, as read with section 15 of the Salmon Fisheries (Scotland) Act 1868 made the weekly close time for salmon fishing by rod and line the twenty four hours of Sunday. This period was left unchanged by the Act of 1951 and is in force today.

#### OTHER METHODS OF FISHING

These methods are net and coble fisheries within estuary limits and fixed engines (i.e. bag and stake nets) outside estuary limits. The weekly close time for these methods had by 1950 also been in force for almost a century, the priod of 56 hours running from 6 p.m. on Saturday to 6 a.m. on Monday, and was prescribed by section 7 of the Salmon Fisheries (Scotland) Act 1862. The Commissioners appointed under that Act made regulations with respect to the due observation of the weekly close time which are set out in Schedule D to the Salmon Fisheries (Scotland) Act 1868. As these regulations are relevant to some of the questions dealt with in this Report they are reproduced here. They provide that during the weekly close time:

 In each and every Stake Weir or Stake Net a clear opening of at least Four Feet in Width from Top to Bottom shall be made and kept free from Obstruction in each and every Pouch, Trap or Chamber of same.

the Pouches, Traps, or Chambers of each and every Fly Net shall be either raised and tied up to the upper Ropes of same, or lowered and tied to the lower Ropes, so as effectually to prevent the Capture or obstruction of Salmon.

the Netting of the Leader of each and every Bag Net shall be entirely removed, and taken out of the Water.

6. The Bill for the Act of 1951 as introduced in 1950 provided for an increase of 12 hours in the weekly close time making it run from 6 a.m. on Saturday to 6 a.m. on Monday. The main reason advanced in support of this extension was the need to allow more fish to get up the rivers and so to repair the losses generally believed to have been sustained during the war and the immediate post-war period when poaching was rife. While this proposal was favoured in some quarters, it was opposed by the Salmon Net Fishing Association and the Association of Scottish District Salmon Fishery Boards. The views of these Associations were presented to the Secretary of State of the day by deputations and following these discussions the Secretary of State proposed amendments to the Bill, which Parliament accepted. The compromise effected was that the weekly close time should be increased by six hours to 42 hours running from noon on Saturday to 6 a.m. on Monday and that the weekly close time provisions should be investigated in five to seven years' time. The Act of 1951, however, did not provide that the new weekly close time provisions should operate for a limited number of years, nor did it provide machinery for a change in the weekly close time. Such a change cannot be effected without amending legislation.

#### Consultation with Association

cl. Each of the bodies consulted submitted a memorandum which they later elaborated in discussion, and the views expressed by them, which differ widely, are summarised in the following paragraphs.

#### THE SALMON NET FISHING ASSOCIATION OF SCOTLAND

8. The Association strongly urged that the weekly close time should now be reduced to its former 36 hours. They said that the extension of the weekly close time by six hours represented an effective reduction of six per cent. In fishing time over the season. One result of this reduction had been to make unprofitable many netting stations in the north of Seotland which had only a short season and

they had closed down. If the present close time was continued still more netting stations would be given up, displacing men who, particularly in the Highlands, would not find it easy to get other employment.

- would not find it easy to get other employment.

  9. The Association said that the record maintained by a number of resting concerns smith called the record of the record
- None.

  In the Association made the point that for both coastal and saturary next be effective weekly close time was longer than the staturoty profid. In the case of the control weekly control was presented as the control of the con
- 11. In the Association's view the damage which the Act of 1913 was intended to repair had already been made good in reven unaffected by man-med headed to repair had already been made good in reven unaffected by man-med headed hazards and of the set of the second was already of these the spawning grounds were already over-crowded. In the other rivers the improvement of salmon stocks depended more on removing or midigating the III effects of the man-meda heazards than on allowing a greater number of finite to ascend. In the Association's view the provision for investigation of the close time was inserted in the Act for the benefit of natement and for the purpose of determining whether or not the weekly close time should, after a limited period, revert to the previous 36 hours.

#### THE SCOTTISH SALMON ANGLING FEDERATION

12. The Federation recommended that the weekly close time for net fishing inside catuary limit about he increased by house 16 shows, but suggested no change for coastal nets. Questionnaires were completed by 106 of their members having between them experience of a large number of Scotisth rivers. Of these 86 wished to see the close time for river and estuary nete extended, 70 suggesting and additional sits house, the purpose of which would be to let more fish ascend rivers beyond the netted areas. In support of their recommendations the Federation said that it was on high ideas that fish entered rivers. An extension of six

boars would mean that two extra high tides each month would come within the close time. The increase in efficiency of drainage in Socialan dance rivers rise and fall more quickly, thus lessening the periods of spate when salmon ascended. Gold water and pollution tended to electin salmon in estauries, so that fish entering during the close time would often still be in the netting area when satting a hyporestation of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract the same time to the contract the war. To counterest these it was, in the opinion of the Federation, essential to ensure that as many fish as possible seemed the rivers and reached the spawning areas. They pointed out that apparently some netting stations already lound cancel towar for edg week without the spawning areas. They pointed out that apparently some netting stations already lound in the contract own of a fire day week without the spawning areas. They pointed out that apparently some contract the spawning areas to work a fire day week without the spawning areas to work a fire day week without the spawning areas to work as free day week without the spawning areas to work as free day week without the spawning areas that the sangle catch had rise mine 1951 but attributed this to more efficient methods of fishing rather than a greater number of salmon in the rivers.

### THE ASSOCIATION OF SCOTTISH DISTRICT SALMON FISHERY BOARDS

13. The Association pointed out that they represented a cross-section of summer things interests since the membership of District Boards included the proprietors of both net and rod fishings. The views of 29 Boards in membership of the Association has been sought and those white expressed no opinion were that they for the Association is view, decided by a majority, was that the weekly close time should remain at 42 hours. In amplification of this recommendation they said that although, from 1932 onwards, there had been an increase in the number of that caught by the rods while the net cauch had remained about the second of the caught by the rods while the net cauch had remained about the second of the caught by the rods while the net cauch had remained about the second of the caught by the rods while the net cauch had been an increase in the number of that caught by the rods while the net cauch had been an increase in the number of the caught of the cauchy the rods while the rods while the rods while the rods were the cauchy the cauchy the rods while rods while the rods while the rods while the rods while the rods

#### EVIDENCE FROM OTHER SOURCES

- 14. The Improtor and Consultant in Southard of the Fishmongers' Company who have had long experience of enforcing the close time provisions of the Salmon Acts considered that the weekly close time now in operation was satisfactory as regards both its length and its incidence and that in general it was strictly observed. In their view the closure of netting stations since 1951 was not encessarily a result of the change in close time. The process had been glosing on creating the control of the c
- 15. Of the other representations submitted, the general pattern was that anging interests called for an extension of the weekly does time for nets, while netsmen wanted a return to a period of 36 hours. A leading netting firm pointed to the damage to see nets occasioned by the weather and urged that there could be no justification for a further restriction of their fishing time. The Nith Hanf Net Fishers' Association advocated a return to a 36 hour close time, stating that

although the season operation on 25th February, little fishing was possible until the middle of May, One Tweed not fishing firm represented that as statements have to be worked according to titles the close time should be regulated by reference to be worked according to titles the close time should be regulated by reference to this sea formerly was the case on the Tweed. The Act of 1951 applied to the Tweed the close time provisions applicable elsewhere in Scotland, vidently because it was thought that there should be a uniform close time for Scotland and that a fixed close time was preferable to one with variable start and finish. No other prepresentations in favour of a variable close time were received.

#### CONSIDERATION OF VIEWS EXPRESSED

- 16. The view of the three Associations are thus divergent to far as the close into for next is concerned. (There have been no suggestions for any change of close time in regard to fabring by rod and line). For constal next, the Scottish close in the contract of the c
- 17. In most cases the arguments depend on opinions which, although sincerely held, are supported by few established facts. For example, statements that too few breeding fish are ascending the rivers with a close time of 42 hours were not companied by fluers showing the number of redds occupied in any particular stretch of river over a period of years in relation to the spawning ground available. Such statements were, moreover, countered by the contrary view that in many riven spawning grounds are over-crowded. While it is possible that for some localities detailed and reliable evidence may exist to support both points of view, it was not submitted for use in the investigation. So far as is known there is available so holy of information of this kind willicarity properative to afford available on the contract of the
- 18. Until the Salmon and Freshwater Fisheries (Protection) (Scotland) Act 1951 became effective no power existed of requiring owners and tenants of all salmon fisheries to make annual returns of their catches. From 1952 onwards statistics have been collected by the Scottish Home Department showing the catch in each fishery and the method of capture from which returns are compiled of the catch for each river, distinguishing between rod, net and coble, and fixed engines. The proviso to section 15(1) (c) of the Act makes it impossible to publish figures for individual rivers if this would disclose the catch of any single fishery within the previous ten years. This precludes the annual publication of separate figures for many of the more important rivers. The published statistics show the total catch by each method for the years 1952 to 1957 and are summarised in Appendix I. Before 1952 it was only possible to estimate the total catch for Scotland from the figures submitted by railway and steamship authorities of the salmon which they carried. There was no means of establishing the catch for any individual river. No detailed comparison between pre-1952 figures and the present series is therefore possible. It has been found that by adding about 30 per cent, to

the figures derived from the transport returns since 1922, figures can be obtained corresponding fairly closely to the total Sortish actab, as shown by the statutory returns. Similarly before 1922 there is a rough correlation between the trend of the total cache and that of, for example, the net cated of the Aberdeen Harbour Commissioners for whole figures are available. The transport returns adjusted in the contract of the co

- 19. Considerable effort has been devoted in Scotland and elsewhere to research on the biology of the salmon in its various stages; but so far it has not been possible in Scotland to obtain the facilities extending over a period of years needed for the comprehensive projects planned before the war which might have provided some of the answers to points at issue in this investigation. Though some progress has been made the relationship between the snawning stocks in a river and the future yield of its fisheries is still very imperfectly understood. It is, however, known that natural wastage in the rivers is enormous, as only a very small fraction of the eggs deposited by a female salmon in the redd survive to the smolt stage. There is some evidence that climatic conditions during the period (generally two years) spent in fresh water by a particular year class of salmon have more effect in determining the yield from that particular year class than the actual strength of the spawning stock so far as this can be estimated by the catches taken from the spawning run though this evidence is far from conclusive. But unfortunately there is very little information about the magnitude of the losses in river and sea respectively. The result of tagging experiments carried out primarily to trace the migrations of salmon in coastal waters incidently provides some evidence that escanement from the area of the coastal nots is not confined to the weekly close time. On the other hand there is also evidence from tagging experiments that salmon may remain in the area fished by estuary nets from one week to the next. In general, the existing knowledge of salmon biology and behaviour does not permit anything like an accurate assessment to be made of the adequacy or otherwise of the present escapement for any particular river or for Scotland as a whole.
- a winder.

  20. The case put forward by the Salmon Angling Federation for an increase in the close time with the object of allowing more fish to ascend rivers rests on the assumptions that a longer close time would result in a larger recupement and that this would effort an increase in the stocks. These assumptions would be added to the contract of the contract of
- 21. The case put forward by the Salmon Net Fishing Association for a return to the 36-hour close time would be valid only if it could be shown that a larger commercial catch would result from a shortening of the close time and that this would not adversely affect the stocks in the long term. While the Association interred from their statistics of Sattraday catchies that a shortening of the close mixed of the control of

22. The statistics obtained since 1952 do not supply the necessary evidence. The range of variation in the total catches over those years is some 20 per cent. In Appendix III the figures for the Dec, the Spey and the Tweed, three rivers not affected by the recent hydro-electric developments have been combined. The general trend is very similar to that of the total Scottish catch but the range of variation is even greater. It has long been known that salmon fisheries are subject to very much wider natural fluctuations, and a 20 per cent. variation is clearly large enough to mask any effect which a decrease of 4-5 per cent. in the weekly fishing time might conceivably have produced, even if that effect were as high as the 6 per cent, suggested by the Net Fishing Association. It is doubtful in fact whether the effects of so small a change could be statistically identified even from the catch returns of a much longer period covering the years before as well as after 1952. Apart from natural fluctuations any increase in the angling effort would also tend to obscure the effect of the extended close time in a comparison of the net and rod catches. At first sight the figures may suggest that such an increase has taken place, the rods having obtained 20 per cent. of the catch in 1957 against 12.5 per cent. of a roughly equal total in 1952. The stock available for the rod fishermen, however, depends on many things besides the weekly close time, including the weather and rainfall not only during the netting season but during the rest of the rod fishing season. While 1952 was a good year for the nets and 1956 and 1957 were good years for the rods the percentages taken by angling in the intervening years (16 per cent., 17 per cent., and 15 per cent.) do not suggest any significant trend.

23. The present investigation has therefore not made it possible to distinguish the effect of the extension of the weekly close time which took place in 1951 or to say whether the present close time is achieving what is presumably its purpose, namely to allow sufficient fish to enter rivers to maintain the stock and where other conditions permit, to increase it.

24. Two further arguments have, however, been adduced by the netting interest in favour of a return to the close time in force before the Act of 1931. First that a return to 26 hours for a five-year period would provide evidence for comparison with the return to 36 hours for a five-year period would provide evidence for comparison with the return of the comparison of the comparison with the return of the comparison of t

25. The Salmon Net Fishing Association's claim that the alternation is costing teme 55,000 a year is based on the records referred to in paragraph 9. But this calculation assumes both that there is no benefit to the neit by any conservation effect which the extended close time may have and that my fish which are missed on Saturday afternoon will not be taken later. As indicated in paragraph 21, however, this assumption rests on an inference. While some loss may reasonably be expected to have followed the longer close time, the assumption that it was as high as 6 per cent does not suppear to be well-dounded, with a good year in 1952 and a bad one in 1956, catches have fluctuated around 1,000 tons from 1952 and employment has remained at about the 152 level. While is a beyond the scope of this investigation to comment on the economic that is the story of the scope of this investigation concertated that this would be materially affected by any change in the close line.

26. The Act of 1951 provided for a single investigation of the provisions relating to the weekly close time. As, however, it has not proved possible to identify the effect of the changes made by that Act, the suggestion of a further investigation falls to be considered. The Association of Scottish Salmon Fishery District Boards proposed that such an investigation be held five years after the present review. Time alone will not make good the deficiences in the data required for a full understanding of the effect of the weekly close time but a considerable advance could be made if all those with interests in the maintenance and exploitation of the Scottish salmon stocks would support a vigorous research effort by granting the necessary facilities and perhaps also by making figures of catches available from private records to supplement the meagre published information for the period before 1952. It would clearly be undesirable for a problem on which strong and varying opinions are held to be left in its present state and even though the evidence will still be incomplete in many respects, a further investigation in a period of five to eight years would provide a valuable opportunity for review and should be considered.

#### Summary of Annual Statistical Returns for Scotland

|  |   | 1952<br>lbs.                                   | 1953<br>lbs.                                   | 1954<br>lbs.                                   | 1955<br>1bs.                                   | 1956<br>lbs.                                   | 1957<br>lbs.                                   |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| SALMON<br>Rod and Line<br>Net and Coble<br>Fixed Engines               | : | 365,568<br>1,092,765<br>1,146,908              | 415,817<br>802,151<br>978,087                  | 523,790<br>1,180,661<br>948,576                | 459,014<br>984,427<br>1,022,055                | 491,518<br>771,447<br>817,868                  | 576,423<br>839,605<br>687,396                  |
| Total ,  |   | 2,695,241                                      | 2,196,055                                      | 2,653,027                                      | 2,405,490                                      | 2,080,833                                      | 2,103,424                                      |
| GRILSE<br>Rod and Line<br>Net and Coble<br>Fixed Engines<br>Total      | : | 29,251<br>298,906<br>401,274<br>788,681        | 31,572<br>282,455<br>445,635<br>769,602        | 25,707<br>260,571<br>356,367<br>642,645        | 20,155<br>257,804<br>400,163<br>678,188        | 40,181<br>234,650<br>310,364<br>585,195        | 51,471<br>398,217<br>563,307<br>1,018,098      |
| SEA TROUT<br>Rod and Line<br>Net and Coble<br>Fixed Engines<br>Total . |   | 64,548<br>177,203<br>79,320<br>321,971         | 74,337<br>168,503<br>66,504<br>309,344         | 82,684<br>219,377<br>86,192<br>388,263         | 57,877<br>268,422<br>103,553<br>429,852        | 92,958<br>220,468<br>88,659<br>402,085         | 100,143<br>266,070<br>111,676<br>477,889       |
| ALL SPECIES Rod and Line Net and Coble Fixed Engines  Total            | : | 459,367<br>1,568,064<br>1,627,502<br>3,654,933 | 521,726<br>1,253,109<br>1,490,226<br>3,265,061 | 632,181<br>1,660,609<br>1,391,135<br>3,683,025 | 537,046<br>1,510,653<br>1,525,771<br>8,573,470 | 624,657<br>1,226,565<br>1,216,891<br>3,068,113 | 728,037<br>1,503,892<br>1,362,379<br>3,594,308 |

#### APPENDIX II

Scottish Catch (Salmon, Grilse and Sea Trout)

As shown by transport returns

(see paragraph 18)

| Year   | Transport<br>Returns<br>(tons)  | Adjusted<br>Transport<br>Returns   | Statutory<br>Statistics                   |
|--|---|--|---|
| 1931<br>1932<br>1933<br>1934<br>1935<br>1936<br>1937<br>1938<br>1939<br>1940<br>1941<br>1942<br>1943<br>1944<br>1945<br>1946<br>1947<br>1949<br>1950<br>1951<br>1952<br>1953<br>1954<br>1955<br>1956<br>1957 | 1,700<br>1,700<br>1,307<br>1,307<br>1,683<br>1,512<br>1,683<br>1,512<br>1,098<br>97.2<br>1,098<br>97.2<br>1,092<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1,093<br>1, | 2,015 2,016 1,689 1,689 1,689 1,689 1,689 1,838 1,540 1,236 1,349 1,242 8,50 1,207 1,206 1,601 1,206 1,601 1,206 1,601 1,206 1,601 1,206 1,601 1,206 1,601 1,206 1,601 1,206 1,601 1,206 1,601 1,206 1,601 1,206 1,601 1,206 1,601 1,206 1,601 1,206 1,601 1,206 1,601 1,206 1,601 1,206 1 | 1,631<br>1,437<br>1,644<br>1,395<br>1,369 |

#### APPENDIX III

## Summary of Annual Statistical Returns for the Dee, Spey and Tweed Districts combined

|  | 1952      | 1953    | 1954      | 1955      | 1956      | 1957      |
|--|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|  | lbs.      | lbs.    | Ibs.      | lbs.      | Ibs.      | lbs.      |
| ALL SPECIES Rod and Line Not and Coble Fixed Engines Total | 163,072   | 216,138 | 268,617   | 255,082   | 254,771   | 313,146   |
|  | 606,077   | 462,383 | 705,372   | 639,713   | 504,743   | 675,335   |
|  | 387,819   | 304,937 | 253,158   | 304,629   | 272,067   | 253,839   |
|  | 1,156,968 | 983,458 | 1,287,147 | 1,199,424 | 1,081,581 | 1,848,880 |

Printed in Great Britain under the authority of Her Majesty's Stationery Office by J. & G. Innes. Ltd. Wt. 72945 K4

#### Crown copyright 1959

## Published by

HER MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE

To be purchased from 13A Castle Street, Edinburgh 2 York House, Kingsway, London w.c.2 423 Oxford Street, London w.I 109 St. Mary Street, Cardiff 39 King Street, Manchester 2 Tower Lane, Bristol 1 2 Edmund Street, Birmingham 3 80 Chichester Street, Belfast or through any bookseller